UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/646,930	08/25/2003	Ron Robeniol Legario	6826-195	1597	
1059 BERESKIN AN	7590 01/30/200 ND PARR	EXAMINER			
40 KING STRE		FELTON, AILEEN BAKER			
BOX 401 TORONTO, ON M5H 3Y2			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CANADA	,			1793	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			01/30/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/646,930	LEGARIO ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	AILEEN FELTON	1793		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 No.	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 31-36,38-44,46,48,49,51 and 53-56 is 4a) Of the above claim(s) 34 and 46 is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 31-33,35,36,38-44,48,49,51 and 53-5 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	drawn from consideration. 6 is/are rejected.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the ldrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is object.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate		

Application/Control Number: 10/646,930 Page 2

Art Unit: 1793

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 31-33, 35, 36, 38, 43, 44, 48, 49, 51, 53, and 56 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Brionnes et al (GB 2120228).

Brionnes et al discloses a pumpable ANFO explosive mixed by various methods for use in boreholes that comprises diesel fuel with epoxidized soybean oil and ammonium nitrate. The oil separation is an inherent property of this composition. As to limitations which are considered to be inherent in a reference, note the case law of In re Ludke, 169 USPQ 563; In re Swinehart, 169 USPQ 226, In re Fitzgerald, 205 USPQ 594; In re Best et al, 195 USPQ 430; and In re Brown, 173 USPQ 685, 688.

Application/Control Number: 10/646,930 Page 3

Art Unit: 1793

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 39-42, 54, and 55 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brionnes et al (GB 2120228) as applied to claims 31-33, 35, 36, 38, 43, 44, 48, 49, 51, 53, and 56 above, and further in view of Richard et al (6113714)

Richard et al discloses details of ANFO composition with ammonium nitrate particles of size .4-2.4 mm and density from .85-1.05 g/cc (col. 6), diesel fuel (col. 4, lines 37-48) and is loaded into a borehole where it can remain from 1 hr. to 14 days prior to being detonated (col. 1, lines 25-45).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the ammonium nitrate particles as taught by Richard with the ANFO explosive disclosed by Brionnes since Richard suggests that it is known to use particles of this size and density with ANFO explosives and also to load the boreholes a predetermined time prior to detonation in order to allow other holes to be filled prior to detonating.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies are not

recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993). Applicant appears to argue that the claimed invention is a dry composition yet claim 1 recites that it is flowable. Also, there is nothing in the claims that exclude an emulsion composition. Applicant also argues that Brionnes does not contain coated particles. It is noted that Brionnes discloses a solution but also that it would be impossible to completely prevent the ammonium nitrate from crystallizing in this solution, thus some particles will be present and since they are mixed with the other components, they will inherently be coated by them.

Finally Applicant argues that Brionnes teaches away from the oxidizer particles but note that a 35 U.S.C. 102 rejection has been applied in this case and thus it is not applicable to argue that the prior art teaches away. Such an argument is only relevant under a 35 U.S.C. 103 rejection.

Conclusion

7. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

Application/Control Number: 10/646,930 Page 5

Art Unit: 1793

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to AILEEN FELTON whose telephone number is (571)272-6875. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jerry Lorengo can be reached on 571-272-1233. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Aileen Felton/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 1793 Application/Control Number: 10/646,930

Page 6

Art Unit: 1793